

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/334,163 | Applicant(s) NAGEL, BRUCE | |
| | Examiner Cynthia Collins | Art Unit 1638 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: after final

 (1) Cynthia Collins.

(3) _____.

 (2) Erik Kraus.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

6, 16, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

agreed to amend claims 6, 16 and 20 by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)